EIA Screening decision proforma

Business details			
Business reference number	[REDACTED	Name	[REDACTED TEXT]
	TEXT]		
Main location code	[REDACTED	EIA ID	2024-007
	TEXT]		

Proposed works details				
Location	[REDACTED TEXT]	Type Non improved / Semi natural / sensitive land		
code		Restructuring above thresholds X		Χ
Location	[REDACTED TEXT]		Drainage	
name			Irrigation	
Description	The applicant is applying for restructuring works to remove underlying stone and material			
	from the outlined areas as per the provided maps in fields NX/61961/48420(40),			
works	NX/62090/48368(42) and NX/61506/47301(29). This is outlined as making shallow			
	excavations to remove underlying stones, rocks and material. The soil will be scraped			
	back, material will be rounded off (restructured) within the areas outlined, then the soil			
	redistributed evenly across those areas.			

Initial check (LPIS, PastMap etc)	comments
Are any significant earthworks proposed within 25m of a	No
railway or classified road? (If yes refer customer to LA	
planning department, and reject screening	
application)	
Does the project involve creating new tracks, or any other	No
action that would require planning permission or approval	
from LA planning, or fall under Forestry EIA regulations?	
(if yes refer customer to LA planning or FS, and reject	
screening application) Does the proposed work involve removing earth/rock from	No
farm unit? (If yes refer customer to LA planning and	INO
SEPA, and reject screening application)	
Does the proposed work involve infilling depressions or	No – however SEPA has been consulted to
hollows with material not from the immediate area? (If yes	confirm there are no other concerns.
consult with SEPA)	definition are the other concerns.
Check designations and cross compliance layers, and	Yes – No designations on XC layer, other
PastMap. Are there monuments close to the proposed	than Listed Buildings beyond North
works? (If yes consult with HES for scheduled	Boundary of NX/62090/48368. Past map has
monuments, and LA archaeologist for non-scheduled	shown a historic environment record for cup
monuments)	and ring marked stone (MDG27180) in
	NX/61506/47301 and an old steading in the
	NX/61961/48420.
Does the project involve any Semi-natural / uncultivated /	Yes
sensitive areas, or potentially effect any habitat,	
landscape, or wildlife species (If yes, consult with	
NatureScot)	No
Does the project potentially effect the water environment,	No
pose a pollution risk, or potentially have any other effects	
under SEPAs remit? (if yes consult with SEPA)	

Check if proposals breach thresholds
Above the thresholds restructured on the holding previously, hence why screening was applied for.

Check EIA tracker for previous projects at location
The business has several previous EIA cases as outlined in the Tracker – 2023-001, 2023-010, 2023-015

Local Authority Planning consultation			
Our questions	N/A		
Reply	N/A		

Historic Environment Scotland / Local Authority Archaeologist consultation				
Historic Environment Scotland / Local Authority Archaeologist consultation Our questions HES – Emailed 16/04/2024				
Our questions	Please consider the application as provided. There are Listed Buildings and a historic environment record for cup and ring marked stone (MDG27180) within the project.			
	LA Archaeology department – Emailed 30/04/2024 Please see attached screening application for your attention. We note that there is a cup and ring marked stone on PastMap (Page nine of attached document), but we suspect the location is incorrect as that ground has been cut for silage and no obvious feature was observed when RPID staff visited the site.			
	Historic Environment Scotland were contacted and they have asked us to seek information and advice on impacts on unscheduled archaeology from yourselves, particularly in reference to the cup and ring marked stone (MDG27180). They also advised that you would be able to offer advice on the category B- (such as the Chapelton Row (LB3406) and Chapelton Row, 2 Storey House (LB3379)) and C-listed buildings and conservation areas in the vicinity of the proposed works.			
Reply	HES – Emailed 25/04/2024 Our Screening opinion - We have no comments to make on the requirement or otherwise for an EIA for this proposed development for our interests.			
	You must seek information and advice on impacts on unscheduled archaeology from Dumfries and Galloway Council's archaeological advisor. They will be able to provide advice on the cup and ring marked stone (MDG27180). Their conservation advisors will also be able to offer advice on the category B- (such as the Chapelton Row (LB3406) and Chapelton Row, 2 Storey House (LB3379)) and C-listed buildings and conservation areas.			
	LA Archaeology department – Emailed 03/05/2024 The cup and ring marked stone was found by a member of the public in 2020.			
	"Grid reference: NX (2) 6139 (5) 473" It's 11m east and 16m south of the wall junction, 230m WNW of 59m spot height at end of High Chapelton Road.			
	Where it is permissible to require conditions, should be left in situ and marked on operational plans to avoid damage.			
	I do not consider that the proposed works will have any impact on the nearby listed buildings or their setting.			
	It should be noted that the Council's Historic Environment Record (HER) notes the site of a building recorded on the first edition Ordnance Survey map (HER ref. MDG15677) within the proposed operational area.			

NatureScot consultation		
Our questions	NatureScot / RPID - Joint site visit 04/04/2024	
Reply	NatureScot let us know verbally, the project is unlikely to have any significant	
	environmental impacts so from their perspective does not need an EIA report.	

SEPA consultation				
Our questions	SEPA – Emailed 16/04/2024			
	Please consider the application as provided.			
Reply	SEPA – Emailed 19/04/2024			
	SEPA has assessed the information provided, checked our river catchment and OS			
	drainage network and consider the is no issue or concerns from SEPA perspective			
	that would require us to request a full EIA.			

Scottish Forestry consultation			
Our questions	N/A		
Reply	N/A		

Outcome			
Full EIA	NO		
required?			
Notes	the archaeology (cup and rishould be left in situ and madiscussions internally it is dowill add a request to the resundisturbed and left in situ in reference. Local Authority has noted the notes the site of a building ref. MDG15677) within the phave carried out a site visit is remain. Local Authority has noted the any impact on the nearby list.	nted, where it is permissible of marked stone MDG271 arked on operational plans etermined, there no legal built letter that the cup and refit is still there. This will income the Council's Historic Electroded on the first edition proposed operational area and checked Aerial Photogone devices that the sted buildings or their setting ut restructuring in intensiverations have been made as	nvironment Record (HER) n Ordnance Survey map (HER (field NX/61961/48420). RPID graphy no remnants of this site e proposed works will have ng. ely farmed fields used for s required in consideration of
	impact on the environment and the project can be approved. With the added request in relation to the cup and ring marked stone.		
Deciding officer	[REDACTED TEXT]	Countersigning officer	[REDACTED TEXT]
Date	22/05/2024	Date	22/05/2024

Acronyms:

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment
FS Forestry Scotland
HES Historic Environment Scotland
LA Local Authority

LPIS Land Parcel Identification System
SEPA Scottish Environmental Protection Agency
RPID – Rural Payments and Inspections Division