

Rural Payments and Inspections Division

2015 – GAEC and core SMR Inspection task record

General details

			_										
	Main Location Code					1				1			
	Business Reference No.		!		l		I	I					
A.	List all location codes visited	for this repor	t (ind	cludi	ng M	ILC i	f visi	ted)					
	Origin of inspection (tick appro	opriate box)	Ris	sk									
			Ra	ndo	m								
			Та	rget				Qι	ıesti	on n	o(s)	:	
В.	Notice of inspection (1= unannounced, 0-3 hrs; 2=	3-24 hrs; 3=	: 24-	48 h	rs; 4:	= >48	3 hrs	s)					
	If 2, 3 or 4 please give reason for notice												
	reason for notice												
	L												
C.	Date of inspection				1			1					
-		Ĺ											
D.	Person(s) present at or involve	ed in this ins	oecti	ion									
	RPID	-						FAF	RME	R / A	AGEI	NT	

1. <u>Establishment of buffer strips along water courses</u> (GAEC 1)

		Yes	No
1.1	Has the farmer cultivated land within 2m of the top of the bank of surface water (watercourse, ditch, pond, etc) since 1 January 2015?		
		Yes	No
1.2	If Yes to 1.1, do any of the exemptions apply?		
	 Creating a green cover where one does not already exist Establishing a new hedge 		G1.B5
1.3	Has the farmer applied pesticides to land within 2m of the top of the bank of surface water (watercourses, ditches, ponds, etc)?	Yes	No
		Yes	No
1.4	If Yes to 1.3, do any of the exemptions apply?		
	 Controlling injurious weeds, invasive species and, after prior written consent certain other weed species 		G1.B5
1.5	Is there any evidence that chemical fertilisers that don't contain nitrogen (e.g. P and K only) have been applied to any land that has resulted in either fertiliser entering surface water or a significant risk of fertiliser entering surface water?	Yes G1.B3	No
	Taking into account; — The slope of the land — Any ground cover — The proximity of the land to surface water — Weather conditions — Type of fertiliser		
		Yes	No
1.6	Does the farmer have land <u>outside</u> an NVZ area?		
	The application of fertilisers on land in NVZ areas will be assessed during the NVZ inspection.		

If Yes to 1.6 - answer 1.7, 1.8 & 1.9

	Is there any evidence that organic manures have been applied to land that is		
	 Within 10 metres of any surface water (watercourse, ditch, pond, etc)? Within 50 metres of any well or borehole etc used for water supply? 	G1.B1	
		Yes	No
1.8	Is there any evidence that fertilisers that contain nitrogen (chemical or organic) have been applied to any land that has resulted in either fertiliser entering surface water or a significant risk of fertiliser entering surface water?	G1.B4	
	Taking into account; — The slope of the land — Any ground cover — The proximity of the land to surface water — Weather conditions — Type of fertiliser	Yes	No
1.9	Has the farmer located any field middens/heaps;		
	 — within 10 metres of any surface water? — within 50 metres of any well or borehole etc. used as a water supply? 	G1.B2	
	Abstraction of water for irrigation EC 2)		
(07.	_ ,	V	NI -
2.1	Is the farmer abstracting water for irrigation?	Yes	No
•		Yes	No No
2.1 2.2	Is the farmer abstracting water for irrigation?		
2.1 2.2 If the licen	Is the farmer abstracting water for irrigation? If Yes to 2.1 , does the farmer have an abstraction licence? e farmer has a water abstraction	Yes	No
2.1 2.2 If the licent at a second at a s	Is the farmer abstracting water for irrigation? If Yes to 2.1, does the farmer have an abstraction licence? e farmer has a water abstraction licence number(s) - e farmer is abstracting water for irrigation, send the details to the XC mailbox and SEPA	Yes	No
2.1 2.2 If the licen If the out a	Is the farmer abstracting water for irrigation? If Yes to 2.1, does the farmer have an abstraction licence? If farmer has a water abstraction licence, record licence number(s) - If Yes to 2.1, does the farmer have an abstraction licence? If Yes to 2.1, does the farmer have	Yes	No
2.1 2.2 If the licen If the out a	Is the farmer abstracting water for irrigation? If Yes to 2.1, does the farmer have an abstraction licence? e farmer has a water abstraction licence number(s) - e farmer is abstracting water for irrigation, send the details to the XC mailbox and SEPA a water abstraction inspection Protection of groundwater against pollution EC 3)	Yes	No

3.3 If Yes to 3.2, is the waste dip disposed of with a groundwater licence?				
If din	ı is die	posed of with a groundwater licence provide details -		G3.A1
		mber:	1	
Resp	onsib	le person:	_	
1			_	
If the	e farme	er has not been selected for a groundwater inspection, send the details to the X	mailbo	X
			Yes	No
3.4	If Ye	s to 3.1, does the farmer employ a contractor who removes the waste dip		110
	and o	disposes it off-farm?		
If the	e dip is	s removed by a contractor, provide contractor details -		
Nam	ne:			
Addı	ress:			
Tel:				
1 61.				
ъ.				
Plar	nt Pro	tection Product Washings	Yes	No
3.5	5 Does the farmer use plant protection products?			
	Plant	protection products refer to herbicides including growth regulators		
If Ye	s to 3	.5, Answer 3.6-3.9:		
How	are sı	urplus spray and washings disposed -	V	NI -
3.6	Back	sprayed on the crop?	Yes	No
	Daoi	oprayed on the crop i		
			Yes	No
3.7	In a	fully contained wash down area on the farm, including Sentinel treatment		110
	facilit	ties?		
			Yes	No
3.8	Rem	oved by the contractor who applied the product?		
If the	nrodi	uct is removed by a contractor, provide contractor details -		
Nam		det is removed by a contractor, provide contractor details -		
Addr				
	- •			
Tel:				
			Yes	No
3.9	On a	n area of grass or in a bio-bed on the farm?		

Yes

No

3.10	If Yes to 3.9, is the product disposed of with a groundwater licence?				
If ()			G3.A1		
	If the product is disposed of with a groundwater licence provide details - Licence number:				
	ponsible person:				
Oth	er List I or List II Substances	Yes	No		
3.11	Is groundwater at risk of pollution from other List I or List II substances e. leaking diesel store, waste tractor oil, old batteries, etc?	G3.B1			
If Ye	es to 3.11 provide details -	G3.B1			
	Minimum soil cover EC 4)				
`	·	Yes	No		
4.1	Has the farmer ploughed the stubble of a previous crop and left the soil bare?	Tes	NO		
4.2	If Yes to 4.1 , is there soil erosion or is there a high risk of soil erosion?	Yes	No		
		Yes	No		
4.3	If Yes to 4.2 , have agronomic or weather conditions been such that, cultivatir the land would have been detrimental to agricultural production or has the lar been prepared for sowing the subsequent crop?				
	been prepared for sowing the subsequent clop!		G4.A1		
	Minimum land management reflecting site specific conditions to limit erosion EC 5)	<u>n</u>			
Live	estock	Yes	No		
5.1	Is there soil erosion on the banks of watercourses, watering points and/or feeding areas from overgrazing or heavy poaching by livestock?	g	NO		
		G5.A1	ı		
Late	e harvested crops	•-			
5.2	Has the farmer harvested potatoes or root crops at a time when agronomic of weather conditions prevented the subsequent crop or a cover crop from being established?		No		

Yes

No

		Yes	No
5.3	If Yes to 5.2, is there soil erosion or is there a high risk of soil erosion?		
5.4	If Yes to 5.3, have appropriate measures (e.g. grubbing, sediment traps, sediment fences, etc.) been put in place to limit soil erosion?	Yes	No G5.A2
	Maintenance of soil organic matter EC 6)		
6.1	Has the farmer burned arable stubble for reasons other than plant disease or pest control?	Yes G6.B1	No
6.2	Has the farmer ploughed rough grazings or other semi-natural areas without approval under EIA?	Yes G6.B2	No
6.3	Does the farmer carry out Muirburn?	Yes	No
6.4	If Yes to 6.3 , has Muirburn resulted in extensive areas being burnt because of any of the following breaches of the Muirburn code: — The farmer left the fire unattended;	Yes G6.A1	No
	— The farmer was unable to control a fire or failed to make provision for its proper control?	Van	Na
6.5	If Yes to 6.3 , has Muirburn resulted in damage to any areas of woodland?	Yes G6.A1	No

7. Retention of landscape features (GAEC 7)

		Yes	No
7.1	Has the farmer removed or destroyed any of the following landscape features, without prior written consent:		
	 drystane or flagstone dyke; turf and stone-faced bank; wall; hedge; pond; watercourse; trees (in line, in a group or isolated)? 	G7.B1	
fell t	sent is not required to; widen field entrances to enable access for livestock or farm marees that are dead, diseased, damaged or insecurely rooted and are likely to cause g over		
7.2	Has the farmer carried out any hedge trimming or lopped branches off trees between 1 March and 31 August (inclusive) other than in the interest of road safety?	G7.B2	
		Yes	No
7.3	Has the farmer cultivated land within 2m of the centre line of a hedge since 1 January 2015?		
7.4	If Yes to 7.3, do any of the exemptions apply?	Yes	No
	 Creating a green cover where one does not already exist Establishing a new hedge 		G7.B3
7.5	Has the famer applied fertilisers or pesticides to land within 2m of the centre line of a hedge?	Yes	No
7.6	If Yes to 7.5, do any of the exemptions apply?	Yes	No
	 2014 sown crop Establishing a new hedge Controlling injurious weeds, invasive species and, after prior written consent certain other weed species 		G7.B3
7.7	Has the farmer altered, damaged and/or destroyed any SAMs without prior written consent?	Yes G7.B4	No

8. <u>Conservation of wild birds and Flora & Fauna</u> (SMR 2) & (SMR 3)

If a breach is found record under SMR 2 if the breach relates to a Special Protection Area (SPA) or under SMR 3 if the breach relates to a Special Area of Conservation (SAC)

		Yes	No
8.1	Does the farmer have any land in or bordering a Special Protection Area (SPA), or Special Area of Conservation (SAC)?		
		Yes	No
8.2	If Yes to 8.1 , has the farmer carried out, caused or permitted any specified operation within the SPA or SAC (i.e Operations Requiring Consent)?		
		Yes	No
8.3	If Yes to 8.2 , does the farmer have consent to carry out the specified operation?		
		Yes	No
8.4	If No to 8.3 , has the farmer damaged the special interest of the SPA / SAC?		
		S2.A1 S3.A1	
		Yes	No
8.5	If Yes to 8.3, has the farmer complied with the conditions of consent?		
		Yes	No
8.6	If No to 8.5 , has the farmer damaged the interests of the SPA / SAC?		
		\$2.A1 \$3.A1	ī
		Yes	No
8.7	Has the farmer failed to comply with any nature conservation order, management order or restoration order served by a court in relation to the interests of the SPA /		
	SAC?	\$2.A2 \$3.A2	<u> </u>
		Yes	No
8.8	Has the farmer intentionally or recklessly damaged or destroyed the special interest of the SPA / SAC or disturbed any birds for which the SPA has been		
	designated?	\$2.B1 \$3.B1	4

Applies where the land is $\underline{\text{within or bordering}}$ an SPA or SAC

9	Does the farmer have any livestock?	Yes	No
	If Yes, answer Section 10 and 11		1
10. <u> </u> (SMR	Prevention and control of Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies (TSE)	1	
10.1	Are any animals suspected of being infected by Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies (TSE)?	Yes	No
10.2	If Yes to 10.1 , has the farmer notified APHA?	Yes	No
10.3	If Yes to 10.2 , If there has been notification of a TSE suspect case or confirmed	Yes	No
10.0	 case, has the farmer complied with the following; any movement restrictions imposed? any orders to slaughter and destroy animal(s)? other notices served by an inspector? any inquiry carried out by an inspector to identify all animals at risk? 		\$9.A2 \$9.A3 \$9.A4 \$9.A5
10.4	Is the farmer feeding animal protein or any feeding stuff that contains animal protein to ruminants? Exceptions to this are feeding milk, milk based products and colostrum, eggs and egg products, gelatine from non-ruminants or hydrolysed proteins derived from non ruminants or from ruminant hides and skins or liquid milk replacers for unweaned ruminants containing fishmeal, if registered to do so	Yes S9.B1	No
10.5	Has the farmer used feeding products containing prohibited proteins to any farmed animals, or mixing prohibited proteins with feed stuffs? 'Prohibited proteins are gelatine from ruminants and processed animal protein (such as mammalian meat and bonemeal, poultry meal, feather meal)'	Yes S9.B2	No
		Yes	No

10.6 Has the farmer used restricted proteins to produce feed for non-ruminants,

'Restricted proteins' are animal proteins restricted to non-ruminant feed production: fishmeal; blood products; bloodmeal (for feeding to farmed fish); dicalcium phosphate and tricalcium phosphate of animal origin'

Cross Compliance CRF – GAEC and Core SMR - V1.2015

without authorisation from a competent authority?

S9.B3

		Yes	No
10.7	Has the farmer used feed products containing restricted proteins on farm where there are ruminants present, without authorisation from a competent authority?	\$9.B4	
	'Restricted proteins' are animal proteins restricted to non-ruminant feed production: fishmeal; blood products; bloodmeal (for feeding to farmed fish); dicalcium phosphate and tricalcium phosphate of animal origin'	30.21	
10.8	Has the farmer exported from the UK, any bovine animal(s) born or reared in the United Kingdom before 1 August 1996?	Yes	No
10.9	Has the farmer placed on the market or exported any products consisting of or incorporating any material (other than milk) derived from a bovine animal born or reared in the United Kingdom before 1 August 1996?		No
		Yes	No
10.10	Has the farmer placed on the market or exported first generation offspring, the semen, ova or embryos of cattle, sheep or goats (of any age) without fully complying with the documentation requirements and restrictions applying to the		
	sale or export of such products?	S9.B7	ı
		Yes	No
10.11	Has the farmer moved any cattle born or reared in the UK before 1 August 1996 from their registered premises, and not obtained a movement licence from the Animal and Plant Health Agency?		
	Allimai and Flant Health Agency?	S9.B8	J
	Animal identification, registration and traceability		
(SIVIR	2 6, 7 & 8)		
11.1	Are there any obvious breaches of the animal identification, registration and	Yes	No
	traceability legislation?		
of the	 For breaches of SMR 6 pass details to the Livestock Co-ordinator to bring forward Pig inspection. For breaches of SMR 7 and 8, pass details of the type and extent of Livestock Co-ordinator who will report the findings to AIT for consideration of an adction. 	breach	

Summary of Breach Findings

Requirement breached & Question number	Intent	Extent	Severity	Permanence	Reoccurrence
(e.g. GAEC 3 – Q3.3)	Negligent/ Intentional	On farm/ Off farm	Very low/Low/ Medium/High	Rectifiable/ Permanent	1 st offence/ 2 nd offence/ 3 rd offence

If breach(es) discovered, please leave comment(s) in section E.

E. <u>Inspector's comments</u>

In	spection Task record (only for Risk or Random inspections)		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the farmer produce milk or colostrum for human consumption?		
	If Yes a Dairy Hygiene CRF has to be completed.		
2.	Has the farmer kept pigs at any time since the start of the calendar year?	Yes	No
۷.	, , ,		
	If Yes a Pig ID and traceability CRF has to be completed.		
		Yes	No
3.	Does the farmer have any permanent land in a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone (NVZ)?		
	If Yes a NVZ CRF has to be completed.		
		Yes	No
4.	Has the farmer used Plant Protection Products (PPP) at any time since the start of	100	
	the calendar year?		
	If Yes a PPP CRF has to be completed.		
		Vaa	Na
5.	Does the farmer have a groundwater authorisation?	Yes	No
	If Yes a GWR CRF has to be completed.		
Na	Spector's signature Date LOCK CAPITALS)		
	F. Applicant/representative's comments - covering all Inspection Tasks		
	completed during this farm visit.		