## **EIA Screening decision proforma**

Business details			
Business reference number	[REDACTED	Name	[REDACTED TEXT]
	TEXT]		
Main location code	[REDACTED	EIA ID	2025-002
	TEXT]		

Proposed works details				
Location CPH	[REDACTED TEXT]	Type	Non improved / Semi natural / sensitive land	X
Location name	[REDACTED TEXT]		Restructuring above thresholds	X
Grid reference	NX711519		Drainage	
<b>Nearest Town</b>	Kirkcudbright		Irrigation	
Description of	Rock removed during con	nstruction	on of shed constructed with planning permission	used
proposed	to raise land in a gully. Existing dense gorse removed.			
works				
	Following a complaint a stop notice was Issued by SGRPID, following meetings with authorities [REDACTED TEXT] submitted a screening application for consideration of works to restart as agreed with the authorities at a site visit.			
	The land will initially be put into Spring Barley to stabilise the soil and eventually to grass cut for silage. The land will be farmed intensively with slurry, lime, and fertiliser applications and will be reseeded every 5 years.			
	Existing field drain to be over drainage work, and		d. Existing biodiverse soil to be retained and spr	ead

a a manua anta	
comments	
No – Planning granted for shed, but NOT for	
redistribution of spoil.	
No	
No	
Yes, SEPA Consulted.	
,	
No	
Yes, NatureScot consulted	
·	
No	

Check if proposals breach thresholds
Yes, proposals breach 5000 cubic meter threshold.

## Check EIA tracker for previous projects at location Yes (including some unauthorised work)

Local Authority Planning consultation			
Our questions	Attended site visit with SGRPID and NatureScot - Did LA consider the soil disposal		
	site under EIA.		
Reply	No only considered activities within the red line of planning application map. No		
	consideration given to spoil disposal.		

Historic Environment Scotland / Local Authority Archaeologist consultation			
Our questions	N/A		
Reply	N/A		

NatureScot cons	sultation
Our questions	Attended joint site visit with SGRPID and Local Authority.
	Do you have any concerns about the habitat that has been covered and the farmer proposes to cover by the spoil disposal from the new shed site?
Reply	Yes species rich habitat so the remaining undisturbed areas should not be damaged.
	For the already covered areas it is impossible to know what was there before they were covered.

SEPA consultation			
Our questions	SEPA consulted on landfill, any SEPA approvals or licences required?		
Reply	It was agreed that provided [REDACTED TEXT] uses the material in an environmentally friendly way (no culverting or filling in water courses) he could use the material around the farm. So, infilling the gully that he provided information on we agreed he could use the spoil from his excavation.		

Scottish Forestry consultation			
Our questions	N/A		
Reply	N/A		

Outcome			
Full EIA		NO	
required?			
Statement of reasons for decision	Approval given for land already covered/damaged to be used for remaining spoil, thus protecting the remaining species rich areas.		
Deciding officer	[REDACTED TEXT]	Countersigning officer	[REDACTED TEXT]
Date	5/6/2025	Date	5/6/2025

## Acronyms:

Environmental Impact Assessment EΙΑ

FS Forestry Scotland
HES Historic Environment Scotland
LA Local Authority
LPIS Land Parcel Identification System
SEPA Scottish Environmental Protection Agency