IMPROVING PUBLIC ACCESS (IPA) Funding SCORING AND ASSESSMENT SHEET (version 2016)

1) Connectivity / Linkage

| | Path Links | Points Available | Initial Score | Final Score |
|----|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 2A | Improvement to a Core path | 2 | | |
| 2B | Improves a Link to core path | 1 | | |
| 2C | Path is a LDR/ NWCN route | 2 | | |
| 2D | Link to wider local network | 1 | | |
| 2E | Link to Feature of Interest | 0/1/2 | | |
| | | Total | | |

.

Linkage - Justification / Comments

2) Value for Money:

| | Value for Money | Points | Initial Score | Final Score |
|----|---|--------|------------------|----------------|
| 2F | Distance Leverage - ratios | | | |
| | Short (<500m) and no new path connections | 0 | | |
| | Long (500m+) and no new path connections | 1 | | |
| | Long and new path connection one end, 1 :<5 | 2 | | |
| | Missing link – new path connections both ends 1 :>5 | 3 | | |
| | | | | |
| 2G | Wider Objectives Leverage - none/minor | 0 | | |
| | Moderate | 1 | | |
| | Major | 2 | | |
| | | | | |
| 2H | Scale and costs proportionate ? Poor | 0 | | |
| | Fair | 1 | | |
| | Good | 2 | | |
| | | Total | | |

•

VFM - Justification / Comments

3) Barrier-Free for multi-use -

| | Obstacle-free multi-use | Points | Initial Score | Final Score |
|----|--|--------|------------------|----------------|
| 2J | Improvement over existing – none/minor | 0 | | |
| | Moderate | 1 | | |
| | Major | 2 | | |
| | | | | |
| 2K | Achievement of full multi use - | | | |
| | Constraints remain for two or more groups* | 0 | | |
| | Constraints remain for one group only | 1 | | |
| | No constraints for all | 2 | | |
| | | Total | | |

(*groups = walkers/ cyclists/ wheelchairs(buggies)/ horse-riders)

Barrier-Free – Justification / Comments

4) Contextual Benefits -

| | Surroundings context | Points | Initial Score | Final Score |
|----|--|----------|------------------|----------------|
| 2L | Enhanced landscape views | 0/1/2 | | |
| 2M | Incorporation of landscape/heritage features | 0/1/2 | | |
| 2N | Designated area eg NSA/DL/LNR/RP/CP/NP/LLD | 0/1/2 | | |
| 2P | Associated corridor initiatives / AEC projects | 0 /1 / 2 | | |
| 2Q | Strategic value shown | 0/1/2 | | |
| | | Total | | |

.

Contextual Benefits – Justification / Comments

Level 1 Full Total -

5) (for Level 2 schemes) – Additional considerations

| | Large scheme features | Points | Initial Score | Final Score |
|----|--|----------|------------------|----------------|
| 2R | Active involvement by local organisations in project | 0 /1 / 2 | | |
| 2S | Proposals formed through local consultations | 0/1/2 | | |
| 2T | Additional value-added by partner contributions, | 0/1/2 | | |
| 2U | Longer term prospects re volunteers, educational | 0 /1 / 2 | | |
| 2V | National / strategic route value | 0/1/2 | | |
| | | Total | | |

Level 2 Additional Considerations - Justification / Comments

Level 2 Full Total -