Rural Payments & Inspections Division Sheep & Goat Identification Inspection (SGII) Report Form - (SG1)

For Office Use	
Capture Completed By:	
Date:	

Main Location Code (CPH):	LIS Inspection Reference:					
BRN:	Date Details Extracted:					
Business Name:	Date Report Printed:					
Responsible Person:	Selection Type:					
Map Ref of Main Unit:	Cross Border Business:	England	N.Irel	and	Wales	
						_
Business Details	Inspection History					
	Inspection Reference: Inspector:					
	Date Inspection Started: Date Inspection Completed:					
	Previous Enforcement: Previous SMR 8 Breach:					
Telephone Number(s)	Previous BWL:					
Landin Fatin Banklan Bak						
Inspection Findings Report Issue Date: Amended In	spection Findings Report Issue D	ate:				

Section A: Pre Inspection Checklist

СРН	Holding Type	Holding Registered for	Inspected Keeper registered on holding?	Flock/mark Location Inventory of CPH concession?		Keeper Flock/mark			Comments on use of holding
		sheep/goats?	on holding?			Sheep	Goats	this holding for sheep/goats?	or nothing

Section B: Annual Inventory – Return Date

СРН	Ewe lambs not put to ram and ram lambs	Ewe lambs put to ram	Females used for breeding	All other sheep	Goats	Total Sheep & Goats

Section C: On Farm Inspection Details

	Date	Comments
Inspection Notified Please add a comment if this date is prior to the inspection pack extract date, or if this date is more than 5 days after the inspection pack extract date		
,		
Notice Of Inspection		If 2, 3 or 4, you must provide a valid reason. For 4, state the amount of notice given.
1= Unannounced (0-3 hours); 2= 3-24 hours; 3= 24-48 hours; 4= >48 hours.		
	Date	Comments
Inspection Started On Farm		
Inspection Completed On Farm		
Comments required if greater than 7 days between		
inspection start date and completed on farm date		
Lost tags: Re-inspection / proforma		
returned date		

Persons Present

Status	Present at Inspection	Status	Present at Inspection
Main RPID Inspector			
Owner/Keeper			

Section D: Re	equirement for full Shee	p and Goat In	spection			
	I confirm that the busin letter has been issued		eper of the sheep/goats	or has kep	t sheep/goats in the last 3 ye	ars and the 'what to expect at inspection'
						(SG2 inspection required)
	I confirm that the businesses listed in th			ast 3 years	and that the sheep/goats cu	rrently on the holding(s) belong to the
						(SG2 inspection not required)
	I confirm that the busin the businesses listed i	ness has not k in the table bel	ept sheep/goats in the la	ast 3 years	and that the movements on	the 2 location report have been made by
						(SG2 inspection not required)
	I confirm that the busin movements on the 2 kg			ast 3 years	and that there are no sheep	/goats currently on the holding(s) or
						(SG2 inspection not required)
CPH	Business Name	CPH	Business Name	Commen	ts	
				-		
				1		
]		
Inspector sig	nature:				Date:	
Business rep	presentative signature:				Date:	

Rural Payments & Inspections Division Sheep & Goat Identification Inspection (SGII) Report Form – (SG2) Location CPH Address

Location flock mark

Other CPHs included as part of this \$G2 inspection report (where records or animals were inspected)

СРН	Concession holding? (5 mile or crofting concession) Y/N	СРН	Concession holding? (5 mile or crofting concession) Y/N	СРН	Concession holding? (5 mile or crofting concession) Y/N

Section E: Number of Animals Expected

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G		
СРН	Annual Inventory (from SG1 or comment to explain why the holding register figure was used).	Number Moved ON (from verified 2 location report).	Births or Number of ewes to tup x Estimated Lambing % =	Number Moved OFF (from verified 2 location report).	Deaths	Projection	Number Counted		
	Total:								
(H) Difference in nu	H) Difference in number (between totals of column F & G)								
(I) % difference (H		%							

Section E: Number of Animals Expected

E1 - Has the number of animals expected & found been accepted as verification that the keeper has maintained accurate records? If no, comment below.
Yes No (if no, SMR 8 breach must be recorded in Annex D).
Comments must be entered to explain any difference between figure projected and actually counted. Comment on nature, breed and management of flock and specify whether black loss would be expected on farm.
E2 - Was the death rate within reasonable limits for the locality? If no, comment below.
Yes No
Comments
E3 - Was the birth rate within reasonable limits for the locality?
If no, comment below. (Comments must be entered to explain how the birth rate was established).
Yes No
Comments

Section F : Physical Inspection

Sample 1 (An entry in column D, E, F, G or H will require an SMR 8 breach to be recorded (in Annex D). If animals with lost tags are found in the sample, section I must be completed).

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н
Location code	Total number counted	No. of animals sampled	No. of animals - incorrectly tagged	No. of animals - never tagged	No. of animals - 1 eartag lost	No. of animals - 2 eartags lost	No. of animals - not found in records

Section F : Physical Inspection

Sample 2 (This table should only be completed if the initial sample was extended).

Α	В	С	D	E	F
Location code	No. of animals sampled (in the second sample)	No. of animals - incorrectly tagged	No. of animals - never tagged	No. of animals - 1 eartag lost	No. of animals - 2 eartags lost

Section G : Physical Inspection

Individual identities of homebred animals not found in the records (unexpected animals).

СРН	Individual Identity						

Section H: General Questions

Use of a Business Warning Letter can be considered if a record has been kept at a business basis rather than per individual holding, first offences only. Enforcement action must be taken where a 'No' answer, to any question, is recorded. If SMR 8 breaches are found, these must be recorded in Annex D.

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
H1 - Were records provided for inspection?				
H2 - Have the holding and keeper details been recorded?				
H3 - Has a record of identification been maintained?				
H4 - Has a record of replacement identification been maintained?				
H5 - Has a record of deaths been maintained?				
H6 - Have complete movement details been recorded for movements ON/OFF the holding?				
H7 - Have distinct movement records been kept for each holding used?				
H8 - Was an annual inventory figure recorded in the holding register or returned to RESAS?				
H9 - Was an annual inventory recorded or returned to RESAS for each holding in use?				
H10 - Did the annual inventory in the holding register match the return made to RESAS?				
H11 - Were official approved eartags stored in a safe place?				

Section H: General Questions

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
H12 - Were all lost eartags replaced at the inspection? If no, issue a 28 day tagging letter and proforma.				
H13 - Was animal husbandry/welfare satisfactory? If no, complete a cross compliance referral form and report to APHA.				
H14 - Were live discrepancies on each holding below 20% at conclusion of inspection? If no, a movement restriction is required.				
H15 - Are there signs of any cross compliance breaches, other than those which relate to SMR 8? If yes, refer to the cross compliance mailbox.				
H16 - Are any of the SMR 8 breaches discovered at the inspection considered to be intentional? If yes, gather as much information as possible regarding the intentional breach(es). Discuss your findings with the sheep inspection coordinator and send a report outlining your findings to AIT.				

Section I : Replacement Tag Policy	
I1 - Was a SMR 8 breach recorded for lost tags or failure to maintain a record of replacement identification? Yes If yes, please complete the questions below.	s No
12 - How often does the keeper check their animals for missing official identifiers and what is their replacement tagging procedu	ıre?
Comments (include what the keeper is doing when checking and when - e.g. when gathered for clipping in July)	
I3 - What is the keepers explanation for any missing official identifiers discovered at inspection? (e.g. fences/whins/bad batch o	of tags)
Comments (bad batch - encourage keeper to feedback issues to tag manufacturer. Request evidence if this was already raised with the tag manufacture	rer).

Section J: Record of Enforcement Action			
J1 - Was the keeper compliant with the 5 key requirements? If No, answer J2.	Yes	No	
J2 - As a result of this inspection, the following enforcement ac 2009 was deemed necessary (tick all that apply). Details are re			ords, Identification and Movement) (Scotland) Order
Guidance Written warning Referral f	for prosecution		
J3 - The following additional letters were also issued at inspect	tion:		
			_
Letters issued during on farm inspection	Tick as	Date issued	

Letters issued during on farm inspection	Tick as Applicable	Date issued
28 day replacement tag letter & proforma		
Acceptable refusal letter		
Acceptable refusal follow up letter		
Obstruction letter		
Movement Restriction Notice		

Section K: Summary of Enforcement Action

Summarise below the inspection findings that relate to enforcement only, include any guidance issued and detail any follow up action agreed. This table will populate onto the inspection results letter for issue, boxes left blank will indicate compliance with that requirement.

Records (includes Annual Inventory)
Tagging
Tagging
Keeper Registration
Reeper Registration
Completion of Movement Documents
completion of movements
Notifying Moves to SAMU

Section L: Inspection Comments SGRPID inspector comments: Signature: Print Name: Date: Grade: Keeper/Business representative's comments: I confirm that I have presented all farm records, supporting documentation and animals for the holding(s) under inspection and I have read the inspection findings (Sections J, K and Annex D). Signature: Date: Print Name: Status:

Annex A · 2 Location Movement Reports

AIIIEX	A . Z LU	Jationi	novement ive	ports										
2 Location report - Date extracted from ScotEID:														
All move	ements o	n and off	f the holding d	o not relate to	the inspected bu	ısiness:								
WB:With	in Busine	55	MD:Mo	vement Docum	ent I	MDC:Mov	ement Do	ocument Co	mplet	e		Н	R:Ho	Iding Register AC:Amend Code
			ScotEID da	atabase inform	nation							To b	e cor	mpleted by Inspector
Туре	Qty	S/G	Mov. Date	СРН	Address	Reads	%	Made by inspected business?		MD	MDC	HR	AC	Comments (including Lot Nos. where applicable and details of any holding register discrepancies)
Totals fr	om 1st D	ecembe	r											
				Original	After ve	rification	of 2 loca	ation report						

Sheep/Goats moved ON	
Sheep/Goats moved OFF	

Annex /	4:2 LO	cation iv	lovement Re	ports												
2 Locatio	on report	t-	Date ex	tracted from S	ScotEID:											
All move	ments o	n and off	the holding d	o not relate to	the inspected bu	ısiness:										
WB:With	in Busine	55	MD:Mo	vement Docum	ent	MDC:Mov	ement Do	ocument Co	mplet	e		Н	IR:Ho	lding Register AC:Amend Code		
			ScotEID da	atabase inform	nation							To b	e cor	mpleted by Inspector		
Type	Qty	S/G	Mov. Date	СРН	Address	Reads	%	Made by inspected business?		MD	MDC	HR		Comments (including Lot Nos. where applicable and details of any holding register discrepancies)		
Totals fr	om 1st D	ecember	r					otals from 1st December								

	Original	After verification of 2 location report
Sheep/Goats moved ON		
Sheep/Goats moved OFF		

Annex B: ETAS Reports

Date	extracted from	n S	cotEID:	

Date	СРН	Quantity	Order Type	Tag spec	Single/ Double	Species	ld Numbers

Annex C : Active Farmer / Minimum Agricultural Activity Questions

Is the Business a Claimant?	Y	es	N	0 [
If yes, you must answer the AF/MAA questions.						
Q1 Is the business carrying out any activities on the negative list?	Yes		No		N/A	
Q2 Does the supporting documentation checked confirm the applicant is an active farmer?	Yes		No		N/A	

Annex C : Active Farmer / Minimum Agricultural Activity Questions

Q3a Is the applicant meeting the agricultural activity rules on Region 1 land declared?	Yes	No	N/A	
Detail the farming enterprises being undertaken.				
O2b le the applicant meeting the minimum agricultural activity rules on Region 4 land claimed with a DA				
Q3b Is the applicant meeting the minimum agricultural activity rules on Region 1 land claimed with a PA code?	Yes	No	N/A	
Detail the farming enterprises being undertaken.				
Q4a On predominantly R2/R3 declared land (ie over 50%), is the applicant meeting the agricultural activity rules?	Yes	No	N/A	
Detail the activity being carried out on the R2 / R3 land and the evidence gathered from the livestock records.				

Annex C : Active Farmer / Minimum Agricultural Activity Questions

Q4b On R2/R3 claimed land, is the applicant meeting the 0.05LU/ha minimum agricultural activity requirement?	Yes	No	N/A	
Detail at the CPH level.				
Q5 On R2/R3 claimed land, is the applicant meeting the 183 day minimum agricultural activity requirement?	Yes	No	N/A	
Q5 On R2/R3 claimed land, is the applicant meeting the 183 day minimum agricultural activity requirement? Detail at the CPH level.	Yes	No	N/A	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A _	
requirement?	Yes	No No	□ N/A □	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A	
requirement?	Yes	No No	N/A	

Annex C: Active Farmer / Minimum Agricultural Activity Questions

Supporting Documentation
(P = Purchase, S = Sale, D = Death, K = Knackery, M = Market, PR = Private, SH = Slaughter house)

Invoice Date	Invoice Number	Transaction P/S/D	Movement K/M/PR/SH	Do business details on invoice match the business details on the inspection report? (Y/N)	Do animal details on the invoice match the keepers record? (Y/N)	Comment

Reason for less than 5 invoice	ces checked		

Comments:				
Condition Breached:				
Intent Negligent or Intentional	Extent On Farm or Off Farm	Severity Very Low, Low, Medium, High	Permanence Permanent or Rectifiable	Reoccurence 1st, 2nd, 3rd offence etc
Comments:				

Intent Negligent or	Extent On Farm or Off Farm	Severity Very Low, Low, Medium, High	Permanence Permanent or Rectifiable	Reoccurence 1st, 2nd, 3rd offence etc
Comments:				
Condition Bread	:hed:			
Intent	Extent	Severity	Permanence	Reoccurence
Negligent or Intentional	On Farm or Off Farm	Very Low, Low, Medium, High	Permanent or Rectifiable	1st, 2nd, 3rd offence etc
Comments:				

Comments:				
Condition Breached:				
Intent Negligent or Intentional	Extent On Farm or Off Farm	Severity Very Low, Low, Medium, High	Permanence Permanent or Rectifiable	Reoccurence 1st, 2nd, 3rd offence etc
Comments:				

Comments:				
Condition Breached:				
Intent Negligent or Intentional	Extent On Farm or Off Farm	Severity Very Low, Low, Medium, High	Permanence Permanent or Rectifiable	Reoccurence 1st, 2nd, 3rd offence etc
Comments:				