

# Supporting guidance for Managing Steading Drainage and Rural Sustainable Drainage Systems

**Date published: 7 January, 2022**

(Format edits to page made in January 2023)

For recent changes to this guidance, please see the [bottom of the page](#).

## Table of Contents

[Introduction](#)

[Benefits](#)

[What needs to be done?](#)

[Incorporation of rural sustainable drainage systems into the steading drainage system](#)

[Rural sustainable drainage system measures suitable for steadings](#)

[Run-off to local grassland / grass margins](#)

[Swales](#)

[Ponds](#)

[Wetlands](#)

[Clean and dirty water separation](#)

[Further information](#)

[Recent changes](#)

[Previous versions](#)

## Introduction

This [capital item](#) provides a contribution towards the costs of measures designed to keep clean and dirty water separate and allows for the treatment of lightly contaminated run-off from yards and other areas.

In particular this will:

- keep roof and polluted yard water run-off separate minimising the quantity of dirty water
- prevent run-off originating from clean / lightly contaminated yard areas mixing with run-off from dirty yard areas
- allow run-off from clean / lightly contaminated yard areas, which currently discharges directly to a watercourse or clean water drain to be diverted to a rural sustainable drainage system for treatment

Reducing dirty water around the steading can: **Leave more space for slurry** or silage effluent storage. Cutting down dirty and contaminated areas around the steading will reduce the amount of water you have to collect and handle, saving time and costs on storage and application to land.

Rural sustainable drainage systems, such as swales, ponds and wetlands, can be applied for through separate capital items. They are a sequence of water management practices and facilities designed to drain surface water run-off. They do this in a manner that provides a more sustainable approach than the historic conventional practices of routing run-off through a pipe to a watercourse.

## Benefits

Putting in place measures to help minimise the volumes of dirty water produced on the farm will help prevent sediment, nutrients and bacteria washing to ditches or burns etc. via farm drains. The measures may also reduce the volume of stored effluents that have to be subsequently spread to land.

## What needs to be done?

The main purpose of this option is to implement measures to help minimise the production of dirty water and to keep clean and dirty water separate. However, it is also useful to consider, at the planning stage, how different types of run-off will be collected or discharged.

As described below, some types of run-off will require to be collected and stored in a slurry storage facility, some will be suitable for direct discharge to a watercourse and others will be suitable for directing to a rural sustainable drainage system for treatment prior to final discharge.

### **Diffuse Pollution Steading Assessment**

The first step is to carry out a diffuse pollution steading assessment, focusing on the management of surface water run-off, with a view to identifying the potential sources and pathways of pollutants. This should then be used to identify improvements which can be made to reduce pollution risk.

1. Using a map of the steading (or several maps) highlight:

- areas which produce clean and dirty water (roofs, areas accessed by livestock, pesticide handling areas, areas only accessed by machinery etc). See the 'Identify drainage types' section below
- aim to differentiate between areas which must drain to a slurry store, areas which can drain direct to a watercourse and areas where the drainage would be suitable for diverting to a rural sustainable drainage system
- current drainage and where it discharges to (slurry store, direct to watercourse, grassed areas) and detailing how it gets there
- highlight areas that must drain to a slurry store, areas that go direct to watercourses (e.g. roofs of cattle courts, general purpose buildings), areas that already safely drain to grassed areas, and areas that could drain to a rural sustainable drainage system. Assess the volumes of water these areas produce
- what improvements could be made to minimise the production of contaminated drainage at source. Such as changing slopes of yards, installing ramps, sleeping policemen or channels location of any proposed works that are part of the application including any rural sustainable drainage system feature

2. List of potential risks identified from the steading map you have produced. It is important to explain either via the map or the accompanying text where the pollution originates from, where it discharges to and how it gets there.

3. Action plan including timescales as to how these risks will be dealt with.

### **Identifying run-off types**

In general, farm steadings, particularly livestock farms, produce a wide range of run-off ranging from relatively clean roof water to highly contaminated run-off and slurry, as described below:

Roof run-off can be considered relatively clean and may already directly discharge to a watercourse. Exceptions may include poultry or pig house roofs with roof vents. Also, any buildings or areas constructed after 1 April, 2007 must be drained by a sustainable drainage system, and roof water can discharge to a closed soakaway or to a watercourse via an infiltration trench or swale.

Yard run-off tends to vary to a greater degree in its polluting load, therefore for the purpose of producing the plan for this option, run-off should be classified as:

#### **1. Slurry and silage effluent**

The Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2011 as amended - known as CAR, defines slurry as excreta produced by livestock while in a yard or building and includes a mixture of run-off containing excreta, bedding etc. from yards and buildings used by livestock and middens, weeping wall structures etc.

Silage effluent is defined by CAR to include effluent produced from any forage crop which is being made or has been made into silage. This will also include any mixture consisting wholly of or containing such effluent or run-off emanating from a silo or silage effluent collection system.

Run-off from such areas requires to be collected in a suitable storage system. However there is a provision to allow certain types of slurry and effluent to be conveyed to a constructed farm wetland that

has been designed in accordance with the [Constructed Farm Wetland Design Manual](#). The types of slurry that can be conveyed to such constructed farm wetlands for treatment includes run-off which mainly consists of rainwater and washings which derive from:

- an outdoor midden containing farmyard manure
- a midden which mainly contains farm yard manure and is situated where its contents can be affected directly by precipitation,
- any uncovered yard, used by livestock occasionally to move from one area to another,
- a yard which is used for the gathering or holding of livestock no more than once a week and which can be directly affected by precipitation,

Slurry must not be drained through a constructed farm wetland from areas —

- where livestock are gathered or held more than once a week, or
- used for livestock movement or holding prior to, during or after being —
  - milked,
  - housed, or
  - fed
- which may be contaminated with pesticide
- Silage effluent which consists mainly of rainwater may be drained through a constructed farm wetland only if — (i) the silo is open for use, (ii) the drainage of the silage effluent from the silo to the constructed farm wetland is direct and through a separate channel or pipe from the base of the silo, (iii) no crop is added to the silo whilst it is open.

**All reasonable steps must be taken to ensure that the drainage of slurry through a constructed farm wetland does not cause pollution of the water environment.**

## **2. Lightly contaminated run-off**

This could include drainage from yards and areas where livestock do not frequently have access, which are not contaminated with oils and pesticides. It is accepted that such areas will build up a degree of contamination from passing machinery and other activities carried on nearby. In the majority of cases this run-off would be suitable for treatment via a rural sustainable drainage system or alternatively could discharge to local grassed areas.

## **3. Dairy washings**

This includes washings from the milking parlour and rinsings from the milk storage tank(s), milking machine and ancillary equipment. These types of effluent can be highly polluting and should be collected in a slurry storage facility or a dedicated storage tank.

## **4. Pesticide contaminated run-off**

Drainage from pesticide handling and loading areas must not be allowed to discharge into a surface water drainage system or a rural sustainable drainage system. There is a capital item available for [upgrading pesticide handling facilities](#).

## **Run-off management**

Once the various run-off types produced on the farm are understood, it will be possible to determine which areas of the steading need to drain to a slurry store and those areas where other management options may exist. This will allow you to select the rural sustainable drainage measures that are most suitable to be installed within the steading to manage run-off and minimise the risk of pollution.

A simple hierarchy of preferred management techniques should guide pollution prevention work on farms steadings:

1. **Containment** as the priority action for slurry, midden drainage, silage effluent, wash waters, oil tanks and agrochemical stores, in accordance with codes of practice and regulations. Minimise the production of dirty water, and take appropriate steps to keep clean water clean.

2. **Do not create potential pathways** for pollution unnecessarily. If periodic seepage from an access track or lightly contaminated yard area simply seeps into a field edge or across a grass strip to disperse in a field away from drains or watercourses leave it that way. The focus should be on areas which currently discharge direct to a watercourse or clean water drain.

3. Once the run-off types have been identified and appropriate steps have been implemented to collect and store slurry and minimise the production of dirty water. The final step is to decide how rural sustainable drainage systems can be used in the treatment train of measures to treat the run-off which currently discharges direct to watercourse.

## Incorporation of rural sustainable drainage systems into the steading drainage system

For all steading types grass filter strips (grass margins or swales) and / or engineered features, such as swales, ponds and wetlands, can be used to treat lightly contaminated run-off.

The main purpose of the rural sustainable drainage system is to:

- discharge run-off to the burn or river which does not pose a pollution risk
- slow and store run-off to help reduce flood risks
- increase biodiversity

## Rural sustainable drainage system measures suitable for steadings

Table 1 below summarises the basic rural sustainable drainage system characteristics, their pollutant removal processes and their potential on farm application.

SUDS feature	Pollutant removal process	Factors promoting pollutant removal	Farm applications
Grass margins, to filter pollutants from run-off	Filtration Absorb soluble pollutants Break down in aerobic soils	Evenly distributed flow across surface. Cut turf best for filtration, not so critical for absorbing soluble pollutants, but level turf helps even distribution for degradation; tussock growth leads to concentrated seepages	Below pollution sources, wherever space and practicality allows; at steading and infield. <b>Priority source control option</b>
Grass swales	Filtration and absorption of soluble pollutants if not overloaded	Shallow sloping filter strip sides, broad even base and trapezoidal cross-section. Open to UV light and aerobic conditions for degradation. NB/ a ditch is not a swale	May also be useful as part of infield soil erosion control strategy on arable farms
Sediment traps and bunds	Sedimentation Blocking a rill discharge infield	Regular removal of trapped material from the sediment trap Limit loading at source Provision as part of a treatment train	Infield features can be very effective as part of soil erosion strategy
Constructed wetlands	Sedimentation Aerobic degradation	Sedimentation enhanced by presence of emergent vegetation and degradation by microbial attachment to stems, plus aerobic conditions if lightly contaminated	For steading drainage requires some source control measures, and a two-stage design facilitates maintenance
Pond	Sedimentation, and nutrient uptake (if low level contamination and at least four weeks residence time)	Very slow flow, long residence time, low level contamination Sedimentation in a forebay pond, dispersed, even flow to second stage of pond	Final stage treatment feature for low level contamination. Habitat enhancement feature. Contingency measure for dealing with major accident involving release of pollutants  Two-stage basins desirable (management of accidents, removal of sediment)

Table 1 - pollutant capture characteristics of some SUDS features in relation to applications on farms (modified from Campbell *et al* 2004)

Where a rural SUD feature or surface water drainage system is to be created it is important to ensure that these are not located within 10 meters of any slurry store, effluent tank, silage clamp or sile bale storage area.

## Run-off to local grassland / grass margins

Grassed areas are suitable for accepting run-off from small areas that are not polluted with slurry. It is, generally, not advisable to collect flows and pollutants which are currently safely managed to then try and provide treatment. However, seepages of pollutants onto filter strips close to watercourses or drains, or onto sloping land above watercourses must be avoided.

## Swales

Swales are useful for slowing down the rate of run-off and allowing filtration. They are most appropriate as a pre-treatment option, used to collect run-off and convey it to a wetland or pond. Provided it does not become inundated at times of high rainfall.


## Ponds

Ponds are particularly good for slowing down run-off through a drainage system and providing storage in situations where the capacity offered by a swale would be insufficient. The deeper water levels provided by ponds offers the opportunity for sediment contained within the run-off to drop out. Hence, ponds are particularly useful where run-off contains higher levels of soil and sediment or where there are higher volumes of clean run-off such as from roofs. They are best located after swales and any sediment traps and before wetlands in the treatment train.

## Wetlands

Wetlands tend to be the final step in the treatment train approach. Their shallow vegetated cells offer a greater treatment potential compared to ponds and are particularly useful for helping to remove dissolved nutrients through breakdown in the soil and uptake by the plants.

The items that are most relevant to a given situation will depend on a variety of site specific factors such as available space, drainage volumes and types of run-off. In most cases however the most effective system will involve the use of a combination of appropriately designed rural sustainable drainage system features, as below.



## Clean and dirty water separation

This item specifically funds various types of works aimed at minimising the risk of contamination of run-off that discharges to the water environment:

## Underground pipework

Underground pipework can be used to help keep clean and dirty water separate by, for example, collecting clean run-off from a roof, bypassing a dirty yard area and discharging it to a watercourse.

To install underground pipework within an existing concrete yard a drainage trench will require to be cut, excavated and a new pipe together with chambers and connections installed and covered with aggregate and with a concrete finish.

## Concreting existing uncovered steading or court surfaces

Upgrading small areas of existing uncovered surfaces using concrete can help keep yard areas clean and reduce the volumes of dirty water produced. This work is expected to involve scraping the surface, installing an aggregate base with a steel mesh and concrete finish.

Concrete should only be considered where this provides a drainage break (small aprons of concrete) or where the flow has to be redirected by re-grading discrete areas of existing concrete to allow better drainage management.

It is also important to note that the 'concreting' options cannot be used in the following situations:

- repairing existing, cracked concrete areas – we cannot pay for maintenance
- to provide a change of use to an existing area

## Surface drainage interception

Measures such as re-grading yard areas to create a slope can be useful to direct run-off in a particular direction such as towards a collection point or to divert run-off from a clean yard area away from a contaminated yard area, or vice versa.

The incorporation of kerbs, channels, cross drains and sleeping policemen or ramps can also be used to intercept and control run-off from yard areas or tracks.



Raised kerbs if properly sealed can prevent contaminated run-off entering a clean water (roof) drain.

Maximum protection can be achieved by completely sealing down pipes to the drain and eliminating open surface water grid.

Where clean or lightly contaminated drainage currently discharges safely to local grassed areas away from watercourses or open drains etc. then the best environmental option is usually to allow this to continue. Effort should be focused on the run-off which currently discharges direct to a watercourse and ensuring that all slurries are adequately collected.

NB: For concrete yards/ tracks and farms buildings constructed after 1 April 2007 there is a statutory requirement (Controlled Activities Regulations GBR10) that any water runoff should be discharged via a Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUD). This excludes areas draining to a silage tank or slurry store.

Therefore applications for any RSuDS options may be considered as ineligible for cases where the entire farm steading or new buildings adjacent to the original steading have been built since 1 April 2007 without the benefit of any associated SUD.

Similarly, where a large proportion of the original steading has been redeveloped since 1 April 2007 it may be considered for ineligible. If the redevelopment does not involve significant changes to the surface water drainage system and/ or increasing the amount of runoff discharging to a water course then the case may well be eligible. Cases involving substantial changes to surface water drainage etc. with no associated RSuDS are likely to be ineligible.

## Further information

- [Rural Sustainable Drainage Systems - A Practical Design and Build Guide for Scotland's Farmers and Landowners, CREW \(2016\)](#)
- [The SuDS Manual CIRIA Report C753, CIRIA](#)
- Diffuse Pollution, Campbell et al (2004), IWA Publishing, ISBN: 1 900222 53 1

- Sedimentation in Small Constructed Wetlands. Retention of Particles, Phosphorus and Nitrogen in Streams from Arable Watersheds, Braskerud BC (2001), Doctor Scientiarum Theses 2001:10, Agricultural University of Norway, As, Norway, ISSN: 0802-3220
- [Ponds, Pools and Lochans, SEPA \(2000\)](#)
- [Farm and Water Scotland Know the Rules Guide](#), Scottish Government, 2013
- [The 4 Point Plan](#), Scottish Government, 2005

## Recent changes

Section	Change
Whole page	Format edits to page made in January 2023
What needs to be done	Various changes to text and addition of guidance to 1. Slurry and silage effluent
Further information	Updating of links and information

## Previous versions

[Previous versions of this page](#)