

# Application assessment

**This is an old version of the page**

**Date published: 11 January, 2018**

**Date superseded: 16 January, 2019**

For recent changes to this guidance, please see the [bottom of the page](#) .

## Initial assessment

Once an application is received by the due deadline it will be assigned to a case officer from RPID or Scottish Natural Heritage to assess against the Scheme requirements.

The initial assessment process is broken down into two stages:

- stage one – completion of basic application eligibility checks
- stage two – completion of full application eligibility checks and the assessment of the selected options and /or capital items

### **Stage one Assessment**

This requires the case officer to answer the first seven questions in the application eligibility checks, to ensure the application meets the basic scheme eligibility requirements.

A failure at this stage will result in an automatic rejection of the application and it will not progress to stage two for the further detailed assessment.

Applicants will be issued with a letter setting out the reasons for rejecting the application.

#### [Application eligibility](#)

If an applicant is not satisfied with our decision, they may wish to ask us to undertake a formal review. [Further information can be found here.](#)

### **Stage two assessment**

The case officer works through the remainder of the eligibility questions and also assesses the eligibility/suitability of each option and capital item applied for.

This part of the assessment will include a discussion with the applicant and may also include a site visit.

In order for the application to progress, case officers must be satisfied by the end of the visit / discussion that the applicant has an understanding of the option requirements and how the plan will fit with their current land management.

For example, stock exclusion dates versus forage requirements.

By 'applicant', we mean any individuals responsible for delivery of the contract.

For Agri-environment schemes, this could be, for example, be the farmer / crofter, the farm manager or the estate factor.

It is unlikely that the agent completing the Agri-environment Climate Scheme application is going to be the individual responsible for day-to-day management of the contract.

If a site visit is carried out, this may include a check of the accuracy of submitted maps, the eligibility of certain options or site linkage across the holding.

Previous non-compliance with the Rural Priorities scheme will be checked prior to any site visit and we will wish to discuss and address any issues with the applicant to ensure this isn't repeated under the Agri-Environment Climate Scheme.

Your application will then be scored using the appropriate scoring criteria:

- [scoring criteria for Agri-Environment Climate Scheme](#)

- [slurry storage scoring criteria](#)

## Applicant's comments

If an on-site visit is undertaken, the applicant will be invited to enter comments and sign the report.

The signature is recognition of the fact that the applicant was present at the site visit and the comments are an accurate record of what was discussed.

If a site visit is not undertaken and a discussion is held by telephone, the case officer will record a brief description of the main points discussed. An electronic copy of these comments can be made available to the applicant on request.

## Types of acceptable amendment

Only small changes to a submitted application can be made by the case officer after the application deadline has passed and the assessment process is underway, for example:

- correction of Land Parcel Identifiers (LPIDs). For example, where a hedge is proposed between two fields and the wrong LPID is used
- correcting typographic or arithmetical errors
- transposition errors, for example mixing up numbers like 3, for 8 or 5

What we cannot accept is amendments or submission of missing documentation for an application which fails the basic eligibility checks at Stage one.

And, for applications that reach Stage two, we cannot accept the wholesale change of the plan, or significant amendments.

This would include removal of ineligible options or changing the location of options because the applicant has not understood what was being applied for at the time of application.

For example, removing a field from the open-grazed grassland option because insufficient land has been left clear for grazing livestock or changing a field from one management option to another.

If significant changes are required, the application is will be deemed as not up to standard and will be rejected.

We therefore strongly advise you to consult with RPID or Scottish Natural Heritage before submitting your application, if you have any queries; for example, regarding land eligibility for particular options.

## Final assessment

Once all applications are scored, budgetary restrictions may result in some applications with the same score being approved whereas others are part approved or rejected.

If that is necessary, each application with the same score will be examined locally or by the National Project Assessment Committee and a judgement made on which applications are approved, part approved and rejected.

Applicants will be notified of the decisions reached and (where applicable) be provided with the reasons why an application is part approved or rejected.

If applying for the Improving Public Access option, please see separate information on the assessment process.

[Improving Public Access assessment process](#)

## Recent changes

Section	Change
Full page	Whole page updates to reflect two stage assessment process

## Previous versions

[Previous versions of this page](#)

## [Download guidance](#)

Click 'Download this page' to create a printer-friendly version of this guidance that you can save or print out.