

# Double funding and option incompatibility

**This is an old version of the page**

**Date published: 13 November, 2021**

**Date superseded: 24 January, 2023**

## Introduction

For recent changes to this guidance, please see the [bottom of the page](#) .

There are two different situations where double funding may happen:

- through different Agri-Environment Climate Scheme options or other grant schemes
- by funding agri-environment options that are used to meet Greening requirements under the Basic Payment Scheme

**Double funding between and within agri-environment schemes or other grant schemes can arise:**

- where two or more similar options are funded on the same area of land, through a contract under this scheme and a contract under another scheme\*, with the result that the same activity is funded twice
- where two incompatible options are funded under this scheme on the same area of land. For example, Wetland Management and Management of Buffer Areas for Fens and Lowland Bogs

\*other schemes can include NatureScot management agreements, NatureScot Goose Schemes, Peatland Action.

### **Compatible and incompatible options**

In order to claim payment for an Agri-Environment Climate Scheme option it must be compatible with everything else that is declared or claimed for the same piece of land. This includes:

- other options from the Agri-Environment Climate Scheme or the Forestry Grant Scheme
- continuing claims under other schemes (see above \*)

Examples of incompatibilities are:

- an option that is only eligible on grass and an option only eligible on a crop
- two options where the management requirements, such as grazing dates, are in conflict

If you currently receive payments under other schemes, you can still submit an application for this scheme on the same piece of land.

However, this can only be done when the management options you are applying for are compatible with your existing commitments under the other schemes and do not relate to similar activity that is already being funded under the other scheme(s).

### **Identifying incompatible options between and within agri-environment schemes**

The following spreadsheet can be used to check if options are compatible with each other. It can also be used to check if options are compatible with land uses and Ecological Focus Area options.



[Compatibility checker \(MS Excel, Size: 363.3 kB\)](#)

doc\_external\_url: <https://www.ruralpayments.org/media/resources/AECS-2022---Compatibility--checker--Feb-2022.xlsx> Template

When entering options into your application you will get an error message if the options do not all fit within the land parcel because they are not compatible.

For example, if you have a five hectare land parcel on which you enter five hectares of Agri-Environment Climate Scheme - Ancient Wood Pasture and you already have four hectares of Forestry Grant Scheme Sustainable Management of Forests - Native Woodland - you will get the following error:

"The Ancient Wood Pasture option is applied for on the Land Parcel AB/12345/12345. However, the total proposed area of all option(s) for 2022 in this application and any previous contracts on this Land parcel is 9.0 ha which is more than the BPS Eligible Area of 5.0 ha. The Show LPID details link displays all area based option/capital items on this Land Parcel. Please reduce the area on this Land Parcel to clear the error or suppress the error and add the appropriate comments."

If you were to enter one hectare of Agri-Environment Climate Scheme - Ancient Wood Pasture and you already have four hectares of Forestry Grant Scheme Sustainable Management of Forests - Native Woodland - you would not get an error as the system assumes these options are on separate pieces of land and will both fit within the five hectare land parcel.

There may be some options that are compatible only under some circumstances. These will be recorded as incompatible and will create an error. When submitting your application you have the ability to justify the error and add the reason.

This should be only done with confirmation from a Rural Payments and Inspection Division area office that the options are compatible.

### **Dealing with double funding or incompatible options**

It is possible that contracts may be issued that result in double funding, which is only found when the case is given further scrutiny or at inspection.

In all cases where a double-funded activity is identified, or an incompatible option, it will be removed from your contract and any payment previously made for such activity under that contract will be recovered.

### **Contract variations**

If we decide that we need to vary your contract, we will send you a letter. It will give you:

- a written explanation of the reasons for the proposed variation
- the opportunity to make written representations about the proposed variation

You should forward any written representations to your case officer within 28 days of the date of our written notification to vary your contract.

The case officer will then forward your representations to the scheme manager to consider.

If you don't respond within the 28-day period, then we will write to you again confirming that we will make the variation to your contract and recover payments as appropriate.

Payments for all other funding you receive may be delayed if you do not reply.

### **Double funding of agri-environment options linked to Greening requirements under the Basic Payment Scheme**

If you claim for an Agri-Environment Climate Scheme option that also helps you meet the Ecological Focus Areas requirements of the Greening payment – as part of your Basic Payment Scheme claim – you cannot be paid twice.

To avoid this, we have identified options in this scheme that are of a similar nature to Ecological Focus Area activities.

This is relevant to:

- land managers who want to use an Agri-Environment Climate Scheme option to meet their Ecological Focus Area requirements
- organic land managers, who are exempt from undertaking Ecological Focus Area activities due to land being certified as organic that would otherwise be required to carry out an Ecological Focus Area activity if they were not organic and who manage an Agri-Environment Climate Scheme option that delivers Ecological Focus Areas requirements

## **Ecological Focus Area comparison**

| Agri-environment measure  | EFA practice  | Direct payments weighting factor |
|---|---------------|----------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Wild Bird Seed for Farmland Birds</a>                                       | Fallow        | 1                                |
| <a href="#">Beetlebanks</a>   | Fallow        | 1                                |
| <a href="#">Water Margins in Arable Fields</a>  | Buffer strips | 1.5                              |
| <a href="#">Water Margins in Grassland Fields</a>                                       | Buffer strips | 1.5                              |
| <a href="#">Converting Arable at Risk of Erosion or Flooding to Low-input Grassland</a> | Fallow        | 1                                |
| <a href="#">Creation of Hedgerows</a>   | Hedge         | 10m2 or 5m2                      |

If you choose to use all or part of one of the above Agri-Environment Climate Scheme options to meet your Ecological Focus Areas requirements, we need to remove the income foregone element from your agri-environment payment.

This will be done by assessing if the areas claimed for the Agri – Environment Climate Scheme options are on the same areas as used to meet the Ecological Focus Areas requirements. Your Greening payment will not be altered.

We will check this each year before paying your agri-environment options. If the options include management costs (as well as income foregone) these will be paid.

If you have any additional agri-environment commitments similar to Ecological Focus Area practices, payments for these will not be reduced. If you meet your Ecological Focus Areas requirements through other activity not covered by an Agri-Environment Climate Scheme contract, then your payments will not be affected.

This approach will also be adopted for organic farms who, without the Greening exemption, would otherwise be required to carry out Ecological Focus Areas activity.

Deductions for double funding will be made if an organic farm undertakes one of the Agri-Environment Climate Scheme options that are of a similar nature to an Ecological Focus Areas practice.

The double funding rules do not affect the land managers conversion or maintenance payments. Land managers who claim for the Basic Payment Scheme but who do not need to meet Ecological Focus Areas requirements and those who receive no funding under Pillar 1 will face no reduction in payments for this scheme.

This is because there is no risk of double funding.

These rules will apply to new contracts from 2015 onwards.

## [Practical example of dealing with double funding linked to Greening](#)

A land manager with 100 hectares of arable cropped land who needs to meet the Ecological Focus Areas requirement on five per cent of their arable land (five hectares).

The land manager has commitments to manage seven hectares of wild bird seed for farmland birds under the Agri-Environment Climate Scheme.

He chooses to use five hectares of the wild bird seed to meet his Ecological Focus Areas requirement.

Wild bird seed is one of the compatible options that is of a similar nature to Ecological Focus Area fallow. The management option payment calculation for Wild Bird Seed for Farmland Birds is based entirely on income foregone, with a separate capital item to establish the wild bird seed

The Ecological Focus Area weighting factor for fallow is one. Therefore, to meet the Ecological Focus Areas requirement, the land manager must declare five hectares as fallow on his annual Single Application Form declaration.

Under the Agri-Environment Climate Scheme, the land manager still claims the full seven hectares of wild bird seed annual management payments.

When the claim is being processed, the claim is restricted to the balance of the wild bird seed not used to support the Ecological Focus Areas (7.00 hectares – 5.00 hectares = 2.00 hectares).

Double funding will therefore be avoided. The capital item associated with establishing the wild bird seed habitat will be paid in full. If the land manager has other agri-environment commitments of a nature similar to Ecological Focus Areas practices these will not be reduced.

## Recent changes

| Section  | Change  |
|--|---|
| <a href="#">Identifying incompatible options between and within agri-environment schemes</a> | New example provided and compatibility checker updated. (Latter inserted Feb 2022). |
| Ecological Focus Area comparison   | Amendment to hedgerow measure.  |
| Whole page   | Removed references to Rural Priorities and Land Manager Options schemes.            |

## Previous versions

[Previous versions of this page](#)

## Download guidance

Click 'Download this page' to create a printer-friendly version of this guidance that you can save or print out.